

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/939,542	08/24/2001	Harold Martin	D-2959CIP	3554
33197 75	10/21/2003		EXAMINER	
STOUT, UXA, BUYAN & MULLINS LLP			HRUSKOCI, PETER A	
4 VENTURE, S IRVINE, CA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1724	
			DATE MAILED: 10/21/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

m	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/939,542	MARTIN ET AL.
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit
	Peter A. Hruskoci	1724
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avifinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ition. A proper reply to a
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire le ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the control of	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CFI fextension and the corresponding amound the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or
 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be 	t 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of	
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require furthe		see NOTE below)
(b) ☑ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be	·	see IVO I E Below),
(c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application in		rially reducing or simplifying the
issues for appeal; and/or		
(d) they present additional claims without canceling		nally rejected claims.
NOTE: <u>In claim 1 "about 50%" raises new issues</u>		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would l canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment (explanation of how the new or amended claims wo		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed: <u>12-41,45 and 46</u> .		
Claim(s) objected to: None.		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5, 8-11, and 42-44</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp	roved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	t(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	
10.⊠ Other: <i>Interview Summary</i>		
		Peter A. Hruskoci Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1724